ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP 1 David A. Rosen (SBN 101287) Kevin P. Smith (SBN 252580) 801 South Grand Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> floor 2 Los Angeles, CA 90017 3 213.626.0571 4 FAX 213.623.7755 d.rosen@rkmlaw.net 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER 6 7 8 9 10

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

V

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS, INC. dba PROSOURCE; DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC524856

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Action Filed: October 17, 2013 Trial Date: None set

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On October 17, 2013, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Pro-Source Performance Products, Inc. dba ProSource and DOES 1-10 (collectively "Pro-Source"). In this action, ERC alleges that the following products (individually referred to herein as "Covered Product" or collectively as the "Covered Products") manufactured, distributed or sold by Pro-Source contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers at a level

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. BC524856

1	requiring a Proposition 65 warning:		
2	ProSource Super Detox Ultra Concentrated		
3	ProSource Maximum Strength Ultra GTX		
1	Sequel Naturals LTD. Vega Complete Whole Food Health Optimizer Natural		
4	Flavor All-in-one, natural plant-based formula		
5	<ul> <li>Sequel Naturals LTD. Vega Complete Whole Food Health Optimizer All-in-one, natural plant-based formula Chocolate Flavor</li> </ul>		
6	Sequel Naturals LTD. Vega Sport Natural Plant-Based Performance Optimizer		
	Energy Stamina Mental Focus Recovery Dietary Supplement Beverage Lemon		
7	Lime		
8	Beast Sports Nutrition Super Test		
9	Serious Nutrition Solutions Tribulus 750		
	Species Fiberlyze 100% Bioengineered Fiber Replacement Drink Sugar Free		
10	Fruit Punch		
11	• E'co by Elements Group Bón Pulse		
12	<ul> <li>Fizogen Precision Technologies Inc. Cheat Tabs</li> <li>Nutrition Training Systems, LLC Muscleology DPX Cuts Advanced Diuretic</li> </ul>		
- 1	Nutrition Training Systems, LLC Widelestogy BTA Cate Act and a Formula		
13	M.A.N. Sports, Inc. MAN Primal Male		
14	Axis Labs Performance Driven Nutrition Xtract High-Definition Diuretic		
15	ProSource TribuTest Pure Tribulus Extract		
16	Controlled Labs Blue Gene Natural Anabolic Matrix		
l	<ul> <li>Fizogen Precision Technologies, Inc. Fizogen Precision Technologies Lean Tabs</li> </ul>		
17	Beverly International Nutrition, Inc. Quadracarn		
18	NRG-X Labs Xtreme Greens		
19	Species Species Evolutionary Nutrition Arthrolyze Complete Joint Repair		
19	Technology		
20	<ul> <li>Purus Labs Recycle Hormone Exhilarator</li> <li>Millennium Sport Technologies Shred Adaptogen Enhanced Ultra</li> </ul>		
21	Millenhum Sport Technologies Smeat Teaprogen		
22.	1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes,		
23	helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous		
24	and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and		
25	encouraging corporate responsibility.		
26	1.3 Pro-Source is a business entity that, at all relevant times for the purpose of this		
27	Consent Judgment, employed ten or more persons and qualified as a "person in the course of		
28	business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Pro-Source sells the Covered Products.		

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER 2

CASE NO. BC524856

3

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

> 23 24

> 25

26 27

28

ERC and Pro-Source are referred to individually as "Party" or collectively as the 1.4 "Parties."

- The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation, 1.5 dated May 17, 2013, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Pro-Source ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached as Exhibit A and is hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was mailed and uploaded onto the Attorney General's website, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Pro-Source with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes 1.6 persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.
- Pro-Source denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and 1.7 Complaint.
- The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, 1.8 compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact or allegation of law by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, and divisions.
- Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 1.9 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as 1.10 a Judgment by this Court.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE 2.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and for any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction

8

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

over Pro-Source as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS 3.

- To the extent Pro-Source sells a Covered Product in California after the 3.1 Effective Date, Pro-Source shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product which exposes a person to a "Daily Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms per day or such lower level as may be established by the State Of California, when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Covered Product's label, unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Pro-Source knows will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

### Clear and Reasonable Warnings 3.2

If Pro-Source is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Pro-Source shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose

3

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

11 12

- 13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4.

Pro-Source shall provide the warning on at least one of the following: 1) on Pro-Source's checkout page on its website for California consumers identifying each Covered Product. A second warning shall appear prior to completing checkout on the website when a California delivery address is indicated. The purchaser shall be required to accept the warning prior to completing checkout for any of the Covered Products being sold.; 2) on an insert warning contained in each individual box of product shipped to a California consumer that shall identify the Covered Products and be a minimum of 5 inches x 7 inches; 3) on Pro-Source's receipt/invoice in boxes of Covered Products shipped to California consumers which shall identify each of the Covered Products and be present on the front of the receipt/invoice; or 4) on the label of Pro-Source's products in retail stores in California.

The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings correspondingly appearing on the label, container, receipt, invoice, website, or insert, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No other statements about Proposition 65 or lead may accompany the warning.

Pro-Source must display the above warnings with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

#### Reformulated Covered Products 3.3

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the Daily Exposure Level when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Reformulated Covered Product's label, contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

### Testing and Quality Control Methodology 3.4

3.4.1 To the extent, if any, that Pro-Source sells a Covered Product in California after the Effective Date and no warning is given in connection with the sale of that

27

28

product, then Pro-Source agrees that testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass and precision that meets the following criteria: Spectrometry (ICP-MS) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties.

- 3.4.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Pro-Source's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.3 Pro-Source shall arrange, for at least five consecutive years and at least once per year, for the lead testing of five randomly selected samples of each Covered Product in the form intended for sale to the end-user to be distributed or sold to California. Pro-Source shall continue testing so long as the Covered Products are sold in California or sold to a third party for retail sale in California. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of five consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if after the five-year period, Pro-Source changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Pro-Source shall test that Covered Product at least once after such change is made, and send those test results to ERC within 10 working days of receiving the test results. The testing requirements discussed in Section 3.4 are not applicable to any Covered Product for which Pro-Source has provided the warning as specified in Section 3.2.
- 3.4.4 Beginning on the Effective Date and continuing for a period of five years thereafter, Pro-Source shall arrange for copies of all laboratory reports with results of testing for lead content under Section 3.4 to be automatically sent by the testing laboratory directly to

7

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 ERC within ten working days after completion of that testing. These reports shall be deemed and treated by ERC as confidential information under the terms of the confidentiality agreement entered into by the Parties. Pro-Source shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

#### SETTLEMENT PAYMENT 4.

- In full satisfaction of all claims, including potential civil penalties, payment 4.1 in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Pro-Source shall make a total payment of \$50,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC. A payment of \$41,000.00 by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account will be due within 5 days of the Effective Date. A second payment of \$9,000.00 by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account will be due within 35 days of the Effective Date. ERC will provide Pro-Source with the necessary account information.
- As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$11.000.00 shall be considered 4.2 a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$8,250.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$2,750.00) of the civil penalty.
- \$24,000.00 shall be distributed to Environmental Research Center as 4.3 reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this action.
- \$15,000.00 shall be distributed to Rose, Klein & Marias LLP as 4.4 reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees.

### MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 5.

- This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of 5.1 the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- If Pro-Source seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then 5.2 Pro-Source must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks

13

10

16 17

> 18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Pro-Source within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Pro-Source in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Pro-Source a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or 5.3 application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

# RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or 6.1 terminate this Consent Judgment.
- If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated 6.2 Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Pro-Source in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Pro-Source to identify the Covered Products at issue. Pro-Source shall, within thirty days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2,

demonstrating Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which are not used by California consumers.

# 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Pro-Source, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges and releases Pro-Source and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, and divisions, but not including private label customers of Pro-Source (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead.
- 8.2 The Parties further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice or Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

> 17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the 8.3 facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. The Parties acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to 8.4 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any Released Party regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational 8.5 or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Pro-Source's products other than the Covered Products.

# SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

### CHANGE IN LAW PROVISION

Should there be an amendment to Proposition 65 or should OEHHA promulgate regulations that establish a Maximum Allowable Dose Level that is more or less stringent than 0.5 micrograms per day, this Consent Judgment shall be deemed modified on the date the amendment becomes final or the regulations become effective to incorporate that new standard into Section 3

### **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in

accordance with the laws of the State of California. 1 PROVISION OF NOTICE 2 12. All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall 3 be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, or certified 4 mail; (b) overnight courier; or (c) personal delivery. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent. 5 6 FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER: 7 Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 8 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 9 San Diego, CA 92108 10 Tel: (619) 500-3090 11 Email: chris\_erc501c3@yahoo.com 12 13 With a copy to: 14 ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP 15 David A. Rosen (SBN 101287) 16 Kevin P. Smith (SBN 252580) 17 801 South Grand Avenue, 11th floor 18 Los Angeles, CA 90017 19 213.626.0571 20 FAX 213.623.7755 21 d.rosen@rkmlaw.net 22 23 FOR PRO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS, INC. dba PROSOURCE 24 25 **Bob Chinery** 26 2231 Landmark Place 27 Manasquan, NJ. 08736 28

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. BC524856

	II
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11 12	
13	
13 14	
15	
16 17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	,
24	
25	
26	
27	

With a copy to:

Brian Molloy

13.

Wilentz, Goldman, & Spitzer

90 Woodbridge Center Drive

Woodbridge, New Jersey, 07095

COURT APPROVAL

- Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a 13.1 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent 13.2 Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be 13.3 void and have no force or effect.

## EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

#### DRAFTING 15.

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms with counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment entered thereon, the terms and provisions shall not be construed against any Party.

# GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25 26

27

28

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

### ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and 17.1 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 17.2 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

# 18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and (1) equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

	1	1	
			ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
	1	1	CENTER / A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	2		By:
	3		Chris Hepjinstall, Executive Director
	5	Dated:, 2014	PRO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS, INC. dba PROSOURCE
	6		By:
	7		By: Its:
	8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	•
	9	1.1 221(C) 1.	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
	10		
	11		By: ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP
	12		David A. Rosen (SBN 101287) Kevin P. Smith (SBN 252580)
	13		
	14	Dated:, 2014	PRO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE
	15		PRODUCTS, INC. dba PROSOURCE
	16		By: Parian Molloc
	17		Wilentz, Goldman, Spitzer
*	18		
	19	ORDER AND J	HIDGMENT
	20	•	good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
	21	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according	
	22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECRE	
	23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECIC	
	24	Dated: , 2014	
	25		ge of the Superior Court
	26		
	27		
	28		
		STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORD	ER CASE NO. BC524856
		11	Ŧ

1 2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH ENTER
3	B e	aris Hepfinstall, Executive Director
4	(A) (A)	RO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE
5	Dated:, 2014 Pl	RO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE RODUCTS, INC. dba PROSOURCE
6	В	y:
7	It It	s:
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	THE STATE OF THE PART AND THE SECURITY TO SHELL
9		NYIRONMENTAL RESEARCH ENTER
10	1	
11	II.	ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP
12		David A. Rosen (SBN 101287) Kevin P. Smith (SBN 252580)
13		
14	Dated:, 2014 P	RO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE
15	ll P	RODUCTS, INC. dba PROSOURCE
16	E	y:
17		
18		
19	ORDER AND JU	DGMENT
20		od cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
21	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREE	
23		
24	Dated:, 2014	•
25		of the Superior Court
26		
27		
28		
	COURT ATER CONSENT HIDGMENT: [PROPOSED] ORDE	R CASE NO. BC524856

		•	
Ĭ		ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
2		NATURAL DE LA CONTRACTION DEL CONTRACTION DE LA	
3		This Heithsian, Executive Director	
4	Delegt 2014	PRO-SOURCE PERFORMANGE	
5		PRODUCTS INC. AND PROSOURCE	
б		By: May 12	
7	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	10. 1	
8		ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	
9		CENTER	
10 11		Ву:	
12		ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP David A. Rosen (SBN 101287)	
13		Keyin P. Smith (SBN 252580)	
14		RO-SOURCE PERFORMANCE	
15	Dated: 2014	RODUCIS, INC. dba PROSOURCE	
16		Brian Moles	
17	***	Wilesty Goldhan Aption	
18		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
19	ORDER AND J	IDGMENT:	
20	Suppression of the second of t	od cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is	
21	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
23	a ·	% ♥	
24	Dated:2014		
25	Judge	of the Superior Court	
26		le	
27	•	·u	
28	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	CASE NO: BG524856	
	14	च्यास्त्रस्य १००५ व व्यक्तस्यस्य स्थानस्यकः । सः	